<span id="page-0-0"></span>

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron

Tetrahedron 63 (2007) 10896–10901

# The synthesis of  $2^{\prime},2^{\prime}$ -bis-benzylisoquinolines and their cytostatic activities

Stephen R. Taylor, Alison T. Ung\* and Stephen G. Pyne\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New South Wales 2522, Australia

Received 22 May 2007; revised 8 August 2007; accepted 23 August 2007 Available online 30 August 2007

Abstract—The novel laudanosine dimers in which two laudanosine units are linked via a  $C-2'$  biaryl bond have been prepared by a sequence that involves formation of the biaryl bond first and then formation of the isoquinoline rings. Two of these compounds showed higher cytostatic activity on three cancer cell lines than thalicarpine.

Crown Copyright © 2007 Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Over 200 bis-benzylisoquinoline alkaloids are known, the majority of these have one or two ether linkages between the two benzylisoquinoline moieties.<sup>[1](#page-4-0)</sup> However, a number of these alkaloids have one of the linking ether bonds replaced by a biphenyl linkage.[2](#page-4-0) The bis-benzylisoquinoline alkaloids show a range of interesting biological activities.<sup>[1](#page-4-0)</sup> The related Thalictrum alkaloid, thalicarpine 1,<sup>[3](#page-4-0)</sup> comprises the benzylisoquinoline S-laudanosine, connected via an ether linkage to an aporphine moiety. This molecule was found to have significant biological activity against the Walker 256 carcinoma and antiproliferative activity on a broad range of human and animal cell lines in vitro and in vivo[.4,5](#page-4-0) Initial clinical trails on this compound appeared encouraging,<sup>[4–9](#page-4-0)</sup> however, phase II clinical trials stopped after no antitumour effect was observed.<sup>[7,9](#page-4-0)</sup>

Inspired by the structure and biological activity of thalicarpine we became interested in the synthesis of the novel laudanosine dimers 2 and 3, in which two laudanosine units



\* Corresponding authors. E-mail: [spyne@uow.edu.au](mailto:spyne@uow.edu.au) Scheme 1.

0040–4020/\$ - see front matter Crown Copyright © 2007 Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2007.08.083

are linked via a  $C-2'$  biaryl bond, and an examination of their cytostatic activities on cancer cell lines. This paper describes the successful synthesis of rac- and meso-2 and a single diastereomer of 3 and their cytostatic activities on three cancer cell lines.

#### 2. Results and discussion

Our initial approach to the target molecules 2 and 3 is shown in Scheme 1 and was based on an Ullmann coupling reaction of N-trifluoroacetyl-2'-iodonorlaudanosine 7, to deliver the



desired biaryl coupled product. The key compound 7 was prepared from the known compound, 2-iodo-4,5-dimethoxyphenylacetic acid 4[10](#page-5-0) as shown in [Scheme 1,](#page-0-0) using standard procedures. The Bischler–Napieralski cyclisation of 5 was carried out efficiently using  $PCl<sub>5</sub>$  in  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  according to the procedure of Ziolkowski and Czarnocki<sup>[11](#page-5-0)</sup> Surprisingly the amide 5 has only been reported once and not in a readily accessible journal.<sup>[12](#page-5-0)</sup> The iodides  $6$  and  $7$  are new compounds, while the corresponding 2'-bromo analogues of these compounds are known.<sup>[13](#page-5-0)</sup> Heating compound  $\overline{7}$ , or its corresponding 2'-bromo derivative, in the presence of copperbronze at  $220^{\circ}$ C under solvent free conditions for 1.5 h leads to quantitative decomposition of the material and no recognisable products could be isolated.

An alternative and successful synthesis of 2 and 3 is shown in [Scheme 3,](#page-2-0) which involved formation of the key biaryl bond early in the synthesis and then construction of the isoquinoline rings. To this end several methods to prepare the known biphenyl  $9^{13-15}$  were examined (Scheme 2). Under traditional Ullmann coupling reaction conditions, $13$  heating compound 8 in the presence of copper-bronze at  $220^{\circ}$ C under solvent free conditions for 1.5 h gave the desired biphenyl 9 in 69% yield. When the corresponding bromo analogue of 8 was employed the yield of 9 was reduced to 45% due to the formation of the debromo-derivative 10. Alternatively, the biphenyl 9 could be obtained by direct oxidative coupling of 10 using phenyliodotrifluoroacetate (PIFA)/ $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$  in MeCN<sup>16</sup> or molybdenum(V) chloride  $(MoCl<sub>5</sub>)<sup>17</sup>/4\text{\AA}$  molecular sieves (MS) in yields of 41 and 55%, respectively. The latter method also produced the ring chlorinated product 11, which was the major product in the absence of an HCl scavenger. For example, treatment of 10 with MoCl<sub>5</sub> alone has 11 in 50% yield and the desired biphenyl 9 in <10% yield. Although the addition of inorganic bases (NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> or Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) reduced the amount of 11 formed to 20–40% the yield of the desired biphenyl 9 was still relatively low (10–20%). We found that the addition of  $4 \text{ Å}$  MS to the reaction mixture worked the best and suppressed the formation of 11 to 10% yield.

The biphenyl 9 was then taken through to the bis-benzylisoquinoline 2 as shown in [Scheme 3](#page-2-0) using the chemistry described in [Scheme 1.](#page-0-0) The <sup>1</sup>H NMR resonances attributed to the methylene protons  $\alpha$  to the carbonyl group of the bisamide 13 appeared as an ABq at  $\delta$  3.23 ( $J_{AB}$ =15.3 Hz). Presumably the presence of the adjacent biaryl axis made these methylene protons diastereotopic. The Bischler–Napieralski



cyclisation of the bis-amide 13 using  $PCl<sub>5</sub>$  in  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  gave the resulting bis-1,2-dihydro-isoquinoline 14 that was immediately reduced with sodium borohydride to give 2 as a 2:1 mixture of diastereomers (rac-2 and meso-2, not necessarily respectively) in 67% yield. The bis-imine 14 was extremely unstable and if the Bischler–Napieralski cyclisation reaction was left for more than 2 h at rt total decomposition occurred. An alternative cyclisation procedure using triflic anhydride in the presence of DMAP was not success-ful.<sup>[18](#page-5-0)</sup> The instability of symmetrical di-imines is not a new phenomenon,[19](#page-5-0) however, even attempting sequential Bischler–Napieralski cyclisation and reduction of each amide according to the method of Czarnocki<sup>[19](#page-5-0)</sup> failed to yield the desired compound. Whilst only a limited number of cyclisation conditions were studied, the  $\text{PCl}_5$  cyclisation conditions seemed to be the best for this application.

The two isomers of 2 were readily separated by column chromatography and had NMR and ESI-MS spectral data consistent with their proposed structures. The major diastereomer of 2 was converted to 3 by reductive N-methylation in excellent yield [\(Scheme 3\)](#page-2-0).

Cytostaticity studies against the cancer cell lines H460 (human non-small cell lung), MCF-7 (human breast) and SF-268 (human CNS) were performed at the Peter Mac-Callum Cancer Institute, Melbourne using NCI protocols. Initially the % cell growth of cells incubated with  $25 \mu M$ of the compounds, thalicarpine 1, 2 (major diastereomer), 2 (minor diastereomer) and 3. The results are presented in [Table 1](#page-2-0). Compound 3 [\(Table 1,](#page-2-0) entry 4) showed the weakest cytostatic activity on all cell lines, while both the major and minor diastereomers of 2 [\(Table 1](#page-2-0), entries 2 and 3) showed stronger cytostatic activity than thalicarpine (entry 1). The  $IC_{50}$  of the major isomer of 2 was determined to be  $>40 \mu M$  on the same three cell lines, which indicated it had only modest cytotoxicity.

#### 3. Conclusions

In conclusion, the novel laudanosine dimers 2 and 3, in which two laudanosine units are linked via a  $C-2'$  biaryl bond have been prepared by a sequence that involves formation of the biaryl bond first and then formation of the isoquinoline rings. The *rac*- and *meso*-forms of 2 were readily separated by column chromatography. Compound 3 showed the weakest cytostatic activity on three cancer cell lines, while both the major and minor diastereomers of 2 showed higher cytostatic activity than thalicarpine 1.

### 4. Experimental

## 4.1. General

PS refers to the fraction of petroleum spirit with a boiling point of  $40-60$  °C. All <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral analyses were performed at 300 MHz and all  $^{13}$ C NMR (DEPT) spectral analyses at  $75 \text{ MHz}$  in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution, unless otherwise noted. All spectra were referenced to  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$  ( ${}^{1}H$  $\delta$  7.26 ppm and <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  77.00 ppm). <sup>1</sup>H NMR assignments were achieved with the aid of gCOSY, and in some

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Scheme 3.

Table 1. Cytostatic studies on cancer cell lines

Entry	Compound	Percentage cell growth		
		H <sub>460</sub>	MCF-7	SF-268
		15	63	54
	2 (Major)	0.8	16.4	40.9
	2 (Minor)	5.4	26.1	23.7
		95	131	78

cases NOESY and TOCSY experiments. 13C NMR assignments were based upon DEPT, gHSQC and gHMBC experiments. Compounds  $4,^{10}$  $4,^{10}$  $4,^{10}$   $8^{20}$  $8^{20}$  $8^{20}$  and  $10^{21}$  $10^{21}$  $10^{21}$  were prepared according to the literature.

4.1.1. N-[2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]-2-(2-iodo-4,5 dimethoxyphenyl)acetamide 5. Compound  $4^{10}$  $4^{10}$  $4^{10}$  (1.11 g, 3.45 mmol), 2-[3,4-dimethoxyphenyl]ethylamine (1.45 mL, 8.62 mmol), HOBT (512 mg, 3.79 mmol) and EDCI (730 mg, 3.79 mmol) were dissolved in dry DMF (15 mL) under  $N_2$  and the solution was stirred for 18 h at rt. The mixture was diluted with  $H_2O$  (30 mL) and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2×20 mL). The extracts were combined, washed with H<sub>2</sub>O ( $2\times30$  mL), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and evaporated. The title compound was isolated as a white solid (1.44 g, 86%) after purification by flash silica gel chromatography with  $CH_2Cl_2/EtOAc$  (3:1) as mobile phase. Mp  $176-178$  °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.19 (s, 1H, Ar-H-3), 6.77 (s, 1H, Ar-H-6), 6.71 (d, 1H, J=8.1 Hz, Ar-H-5'), 6.64 (d, 1H, J=2.1 Hz, Ar-H-2'), 6.58 (dd, 1H, J=8.1, 2.1 Hz, Ar- $H-6'$ ), 5.41 (t, J=6.9 Hz, 1H, NH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-4), 3.85 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-4'), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-3'), 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-5), 3.60 (s, 2H, Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.47 (q, 2H, J=6.9 Hz, Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–NH), 2.71 (t, 2H, J=6.9, Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>– NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$  169.6 (C=O), 149.6 (Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-5), 149.0  $(Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-3')$ , 148.7  $(Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-4)$ , 147.6 (Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-4'), 130.9 (Ar-C-1), 130.5 (Ar-C-1'), 121.6  $(Ar-C-H-3)$ , 120.5  $(Ar-C-H-6')$ , 113.0  $(Ar-C-H-6)$ , 111.7 (Ar-C-H-5'), 111.1 (Ar-C-H-2'), 88.8 (Ar-C-2), 56.1 (Ar–OCH3), 55.9 (Ar–OCH3), 55.84 (Ar–OCH3), 55.81 (Ar–OCH<sub>3</sub>), 48.1 (Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 40.6 (Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–  $CH_2-NH$ ), 35.8 (Ar- $CH_2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-NH). MS (EI<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  485 (M<sup>+</sup> 3%), 164 (100%); HRMS (EI<sup>+</sup>): calcd for  $C_{20}H_{24}INO_5 = 485.0699$  (M<sup>++</sup>), found 485.0696.

4.1.2. (R,S)-1-[(2-Iodo-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl)methyl]- 6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 6. PCl<sub>5</sub> (107 mg, 0.51 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 5  $(100 \text{ mg}, 0.21 \text{ mmol})$  in dry  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2(5 \text{ mL})$  and the resulting mixture stirred for 18 h at rt under an  $N_2$  atmosphere. The solution was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL), washed with satd aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> ( $2 \times 20$  mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated. The resulting imine was dissolved in dry ice-cold MeOH (5 mL) and sodium borohydride (46 mg, 1.21 mmol) was added. The ice bath was removed and the mixture stirred at rt for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL). The solution was washed with satd aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution (2×10 mL), dried (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>), filtered and evaporated to yield the free amine as a white film  $(95 \text{ mg}, 99\%)$  that did not require further purification. <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.20 (s, 1H, Ar–H-3'), 6.72 (s, 1H, Ar–H-6'), 6.71 (s, 1H, Ar–H-5), 6.53 (s, 1H, Ar–H-8), 4.14 (dd, 1H,  $J=9.6$ , 4.2 Hz, Ar–H-1), 3.79 (s, 6H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-6, 7), 3.77 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-5'), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-4'), 3.19 (dd, 1H,  $J=14.1$ , 4.2 Hz, Ar–C $H_a$ –CH–), 2.91–2.84 (m, 3H, Ar–  $CH_2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-NH, Ar-CH<sub>b</sub>-CH-), 2.70 (d, 2H, J=12.9, 6.3, Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$  149.4 (Ar–C–OCH<sub>3</sub>-5'),

148.5  $(Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-4')$ , 147.8  $(Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-7)$ , 147.3 (Ar–C–OCH3-6), 134.1 (Ar–C-8a), 129.9 (Ar–C-4a), 127.2 (Ar-C-1'), 122.0 (Ar-C-H-6'), 114.0 (Ar-C-H-3'), 111.9 (Ar-C-H-5), 109.9 (Ar-C-H-8), 89.0 (Ar-C-2'), 56.4  $(Ar-OCH<sub>3</sub>-6)$ , 56.2  $(Ar-OCH<sub>3</sub>-7)$ , 56.1  $(Ar-OCH<sub>3</sub>-5')$ , 56.0 (Ar–OCH<sub>3</sub>-4'), 55.5 (C-1), 47.0 (Ar–CH–NH), 40.7 (Ar–  $CH_2$ –CO, Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–NH), 29.4 (Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–NH). MS (EI<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  469 (M<sup>+</sup> 6%), 340 (100%); HRMS (CI<sup>+</sup>): calcd for  $C_{20}H_{25}INO_4 = 470.0828$  (M+H<sup>+</sup>), found 470.0825.

4.1.3. (R,S)-1-[(2-Iodo-4,5-dimethoxyoxophenyl)methyl]- 2-trifluoroacetyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 7. Compound 6 (95 mg, 0.20 mmol) was dissolved in dry pyridine (2 mL) and trifluoroacetic anhydride (1.5 mL) was added. The solution was stirred for 18 h at rt. The mixture was diluted and stirred with 1 M HCl solution (10 mL) for 30 min, then extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  $(2\times20 \text{ mL})$ . The extracts were washed with satd aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution ( $2\times20$  mL), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and evaporated. Purification by flash silica gel chromatography with EtOAc/PS (1:1) as mobile phase yielded the title compound as an orange film  $(81 \text{ mg}, 70\%)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.19  $(s,$ 1H, Ar-H-3'), 6.65 (s, 1H, Ar-H-6'), 6.60 (s, 1H, Ar-H-5), 6.52 (s, 1H, Ar–H-8), 5.71 (dd, 1H, J=8.1, 6.3 Hz, H-1), 4.05–4.01 (m, 1H, Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>a</sub>–NCOCF<sub>3</sub>), 3.86 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-6), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-7), 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-5'), 3.74 (s, 3H, OC $H_3$ -4'), 3.70 (d, 1H, J=5.7 Hz, Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>- $CH_b-NCOCF_3$ ), 3.26 (dd, 1H, J=14.0, 6.3 Hz, Ar–CH<sub>a</sub>– CH), 3.25 (dd, 1H,  $J=14.0$ , 8.1 Hz, Ar–CH<sub>b</sub>-CH), 3.02–2.91 (m, 1H, Ar–CH<sub>a</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–NCOCF<sub>3</sub>), 2.79 (dt, 1H, J=15.9,  $3.9$  Hz, Ar–CH<sub>b</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–NCOCF<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$  (C=O not observed)  $149.4$  (Ar–C–OCH<sub>3</sub>-6),  $148.7$  (Ar–C–OCH<sub>3</sub>-5'), 148.5 (Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-7), 147.9 (Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-4'), 132.3 (Ar–C-1<sup>0</sup> ), 126.6 (Ar–C-4a), 125.1 (Ar–C-8a), 121.7 (Ar– C-H-3'), 116.8 (q, J=284.1 Hz, NCOCF<sub>3</sub>), 113.1 (Ar-C-H-6'), 111.2 (Ar-C-H-5), 110.4 (Ar-C-H-8), 89.8 (Ar-C-2'), 56.3 (Ar–OCH3-6), 56.2 (Ar–OCH3-7), 56.1 (Ar–OCH3- 5'), 56.0  $(Ar-OCH<sub>3</sub>-4')$ , 54.5  $(Ar-CH-NCOCF<sub>3</sub>)$ , 45.6  $(Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-NCOCF<sub>3</sub>), 40.4 (Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH), 28.9 (Ar CH_2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-NCOCF<sub>3</sub>). MS (EI<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  565 (M<sup>+</sup> 4%), 288 (100%); HRMS (EI<sup>+</sup>): calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>23</sub>IF<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>=565.0573 (M<sup>++</sup>), found 565.0576.

4.1.4. Dimethyl 2,2'-(4,4',5,5'-tetramethoxybiphenyl-2,2'diyl)diacetate 9. Method 1. To a solution of  $10^{21}$  $10^{21}$  $10^{21}$  (129 mg, 0.62 mmol) and PIFA (250 mg, 0.58 mmol) in dry MeCN (10 mL) at  $0^{\circ}$ C under N<sub>2</sub> was added BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (150 µL). After 10 min the mixture was diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2×20 mL). The extracts were combined, washed with satd aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (20 mL), dried (MgSO4), filtered and evaporated. Purification by flash silica gel chromatography using EtOAc/PS (3:7) as the eluent yielded the title compound as clear crystals (53 mg, 41%).

Method 2. The title compound was also prepared in 55% yield (clear crystals,  $110 \text{ mg}$ ) by stirring  $10^{21}$  $10^{21}$  $10^{21}$  (200 mg, 0.95 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (20 mL) with powdered molecular sieves  $(4 \text{ Å}, 500 \text{ mg})$  for 30 min and cooling the mixture to  $0^{\circ}$ C. MoCl<sub>5</sub> (570 mg, 2.11 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture and stirring was continued at  $0^{\circ}$ C for 2 h after which the mixture was diluted with water (15 mL) and extracted with DCM  $(2\times20 \text{ mL})$ . The extracts were combined, washed with satd aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (20 mL), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and evaporated. Purification by flash silica gel chromatography using EtOAc/PS (3:7) as the eluent yielded the title compound.

Method 3. The title compound was also prepared in 69% yield (clear crystals,  $172 \text{ mg}$ ) by heating  $8^{20}$  $8^{20}$  $8^{20}$  (200 mg, 0.60 mmol) with freshly activated copper-bronze<sup>[22](#page-5-0)</sup> (200 mg) in a Wheaton vial at 220 °C for 1.5 h. The heat was removed and the mixture suspended in EtOAc (50 mL), filtered and the solvent evaporated. The title compound was purified by flash silica gel chromatography using EtOAc/PS (3:7) as the eluent.

Mp 142–144 °C (lit.<sup>[20](#page-5-0)</sup> mp 145 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ 6.84 (s, 2H, Ar–H-6), 6.72 (s, 2H, Ar–H-3), 3.92 (s, 6H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-5), 3.83  $(s, 6H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-4)$ , 3.60  $(s, 6H, CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)$ , 3.35 (ABq, 4H, J=16.5 Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$  172.4 (C=O), 148.1 (Ar– C–OCH3-4), 147.4 (Ar–C–OCH3-5), 132.8 (Ar–C-1), 124.6 (Ar–C-2), 113.2 (Ar–C–H-3), 112.5 (Ar–C–H-6), 55.8  $(Ar-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 55.7 (Ar-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 51.8 (CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 37.9 (Ar–$ CH<sub>2</sub>). MS (CI<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  419 (M+H, 100%); HRMS (EI<sup>+</sup>): calcd for  $C_{22}H_{26}O_8 = 418.1627$  (M<sup>++</sup>), found 418.1615.

4.1.5. 2,2'-(4,4',5,5'-Tetramethoxybiphenyl-2,2'-diyl)diacetic acid 12. Compound 9 (150 mg, 0.36 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (2 mL) and added to a 40 °C stirred solution of  $K_2CO_3$  (99 mg, 0.72 mmol) in  $H_2O(2 \text{ mL})$ . After 2 h the reaction was removed from the heat and the methanol evaporated. The aqueous residue was acidified with 10% aqueous HCl solution to pH 1, extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  $(2\times20 \text{ mL})$ , dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and evaporated to dryness to yield the title compound as a white solid (137 mg, 98%). No further purification was required. Mp 228– 230 °C (lit.<sup>[20](#page-5-0)</sup> 228–230 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  9.72 (br s, 1H, COOH), 6.77 (s, 1H, Ar–H-3), 6.60 (s, 1H, Ar–H-6), 3.89  $(s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-5)$ , 3.82  $(s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-4)$ , 3.45 (ABq, 2H,  $J=17.7$  Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CO). <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$  179.1 (C=O), 148.3  $(Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-4)$ , 147.7  $(Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-5)$ , 132.9  $(Ar-C-1)$ , 124.5 (Ar–C-2), 113.1 (Ar–C–H-6), 112.8 (Ar–C–H-3), 55.9 (Ar–OCH<sub>3</sub>), 55.8 (Ar–OCH<sub>3</sub>), 37.3 (Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>– COOH). MS (ESI-):  $m/z$  389 (M<sup>-</sup>, 37%), 114 (100%); HRMS (ESI-): calcd for  $C_{20}H_{21}O_8 = 389.1236$  (M<sup>-</sup>), found 389.1218.

4.1.6. N,N'-Di-[2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]-2,2'-(4,4',5,5'-tetramethoxybiphenyl-2,2'-diyl)diacetamide 13. The diacid 12 (130 mg, 0.33), 2-[3,4-dimethoxyphenyl] ethylamine (0.28 mL, 1.65 mmol), HOBT (99 mg, 0.73 mmol) and EDCI (128 mg, 0.66 mmol) were dissolved in dry DMF (6 mL) under  $N_2$  and the solution was stirred for 18 h at rt. The mixture was diluted with  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (30 mL) and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2×20 mL). The extracts were combined, washed with  $H_2O$  (2×30 mL), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and evaporated. The title compound was isolated as a white solid (214 g, 90%) after purification by flash silica gel chromatography with  $CH_2Cl_2/EtOAc$  (3:1) as mobile phase. Mp  $162-164$  °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  6.81 (s, 1H, Ar-H-3'), 6.72 (d, 1H,  $J=8.1$  Hz, Ar–H-5), 6.63 (d, 1H,  $J=2.1$  Hz, Ar-H-2), 6.58 (s, 1H, Ar-H-6'), 6.55 (dd, J=8.1, 2.1 Hz, Ar-H-6), 5.78 (t, 1H, J=5.4 Hz, NH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-4'), 3.85 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-4), 3.81 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-3), 3.80 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-5'), 3.35 (dt, 2H, J=6.9, 5.4 Hz, Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–

<span id="page-4-0"></span>NH), 3.23 (ABq, 2H,  $J=15.3$  Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 2.66 (t, 2H,  $J=6.9$ , Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$  171.1 (C=O), 148.9  $(Ar-C-OCH_3-5')$ , 148.5  $(2\times Ar-C-OCH_3-4,4')$ , 147.6 (Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-5), 132.6 (Ar-C-2'), 131.0 (Ar-C-1), 125.7 (Ar-C-1'), 120.5 (Ar-C-H-6), 113.2 (Ar-C-H-6'), 112.4 (Ar-C-H-3'), 111.6 (Ar-C-H-2), 111.1 (Ar-C-H-5), 56.0 (Ar–OCH3), 55.9 (Ar–OCH3), 55.8 (Ar–OCH3), 55.7  $(Ar-OCH_3)$ , 40.8  $(Ar-CH_2-CH_2-NH)$ , 40.6  $(Ar-CH_2-$ CO), 34.9 ( $Ar-CH_2-CH_2-NH$ ). MS ( $ES^+$ ):  $m/z$  717 ( $M+H$ , 30%), 288 (100%); HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>): calcd for  $C_{40}H_{49}N_2O_{10} =$ 717.3387 (MH<sup>+</sup>), found 717.3402.

4.1.7.  $(1RS,1'''RS)$  and  $(1R,1'''S)$ -2,2'-[Di-{(6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)methyl}]-4,4',5,5'**biphenyl 2.** PCl<sub>5</sub> (87 mg, 0.42 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of compound 13 (50 mg, 0.07 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$ (2 mL) and the resulting mixture stirred for 2 h at rt under an  $N_2$  atmosphere. The solution was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$ (10 mL), washed with satd aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> ( $2 \times 20$  mL), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and evaporated. The resulting imine was dissolved in dry ice-cold MeOH (5 mL) and sodium borohydride (8 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added. The ice bath was removed and the mixture stirred at rt for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL). The solution was washed with satd aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution (2×10 mL), dried (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>), filtered and evaporated. The crude mixture was separated by column chromatography using  $CH_2Cl_2/EtOH/MeOH/$ NH<sub>3</sub> (10:5:1:0.1) as the eluent to yield pure samples of the major isomer as a white solid (20 mg,  $42\%, R_f(0.2)$ ) and the minor isomer as a white solid (12 mg,  $25\%$ ,  $\overline{R_f}$  0.4); reflecting a combined yield of 67% for both diastereomers.

Major isomer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (the individual methoxy signals could not be assigned unequivocally):  $\delta$  6.94 (s, 1H, Ar–H-3'), 6.46 (s, 1H, Ar-H-6'), 6.26 (s, 1H, Ar-H-5), 6.05 (s, 1H, Ar–H-8), 4.06–3.93 (m, 1H, H-1), 3.83 (s, 3H, OCH3), 3.75 (s, 3H, OCH3), 3.58 (s, 3H, OCH3), 3.52 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.26–3.16 (m, 2H, Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH), 3.11–2.96 (m, 2H, Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–NH), 2.91–2.70 (m, 2H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>– NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: δ 148.7 (Ar–C–OCH<sub>3</sub>-5'), 148.1 (Ar–C– OCH<sub>3</sub>-4'), 147.9 (Ar–C–OCH<sub>3</sub>-7), 147.4 (Ar–C–OCH<sub>3</sub>-6), 135.4 (Ar-C-1'), 134.3 (Ar-C-2'), 133.0 (Ar-C-4a), 123.9  $(Ar-C-8a)$ , 113.9  $(Ar-C-H-3')$ , 112.6  $(Ar-C-H-6')$ , 111.1  $(Ar-C-H-8)$ , 110.7  $(Ar-C-H-5)$ , 56.0  $(Ar-OCH<sub>3</sub>)$ , 55.8  $(Ar-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 55.7 (Ar-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 55.5 (Ar-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 51.9 (C-$ 1), 40.1 (Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH), 37.4 (Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–NH), 25.0  $(Ar-CH_2-CH_2-NH)$ . MS:  $m/z$  (ES<sup>+</sup>) 685 (M+H, 100%); HRMS (ES<sup>+</sup>): calcd for  $C_{40}H_{49}N_2O_8 = 684.3489$ , found 684.3480.

Minor isomer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  6.83 (s, 1H, Ar–H-3'), 6.42 (s, 1H, Ar-H-6'), 6.09 (s, 1H, Ar-H-5), 5.79 (s, 1H, Ar-H-8), 3.97-3.87 (m, 1H, H-1), 3.80 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-4'), 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-5'), 3.72 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-7), 3.65 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-6), 3.10–2.82 (m, 2H, Ar–CH2–CH), 2.74–2.67 (m, 2H, Ar–  $CH_2-CH_2-NH$ ), 2.65–2.58 (m, 2H, Ar– $CH_2-CH_2-NH$ ).  $13C$  NMR:  $\delta$  148.1 (Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-5'), 147.2 (Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-4'), 147.1 (Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-7), 146.9 (Ar-C-OCH<sub>3</sub>-6), 133.4 (Ar-C-1'), 133.2 (Ar-C-2'), 129.4 (Ar-C-4a), 126.5 (Ar-C-8a), 113.6 (Ar-C-H-3'), 112.9 (Ar-C-H-6'), 111.4 (Ar-C–H-8), 109.3 (Ar–C–H-5), 56.1 (C-1), 55.9 (Ar–OCH3), 55.8 (Ar–OCH3), 55.7 (Ar–OCH3), 55.6 (Ar–OCH3), 39.3  $(Ar-CH_2-CH)$ , 39.2  $(Ar-CH_2-CH_2-NH)$ , 29.5  $(Ar-CH_2-CH_2)$ CH<sub>2</sub>–NH). MS:  $m/z$  (ESI<sup>+</sup>) 685 (M+H, 100%); HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>): calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>49</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>=685.3489, found 685.3480.

4.1.8. (1RS,1'RS),(1R,1'S),PM-2,2'-[Di-{(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxy-2-methylisoquinolin-1-yl)methyl}]-  $4,4',5,5'$ -biphenyl 3. The major isomer of 2 (8.6 mg) was dissolved in dry MeCN (0.5 mL) to which sodium cyanoborohydride (15 mg), 28% formaldehyde solution (0.2 mL) and acetic acid (two drops) were added and the solution was stirred for 3 h. The reaction was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$ (10 mL), washed with satd aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  solution  $(2\times10 \text{ mL})$ , dried over anhydrous K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, filtered and evaporated. Purification by silica gel chromatography using  $CH_2Cl_2/EtOAc/MeOH/NH_3$  (10:5:1:trace) as the eluent afforded the title compound as an opaque film (9 mg, 96%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  6.81 (s, 1H, Ar–H-3<sup>f</sup>), 6.38 (s, 1H, Ar– H-6'), 6.07 (s, 1H, Ar-H-5), 5.60 (s, 1H, Ar-H-8), 3.79 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-4'), 3.70 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-5'), 3.61 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>-7), 3.51 (s, 3H, OCH3-6), 3.38 (s, 1H, H-1), 2.97–2.80 (m, 2H, Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH), 2.77–2.63 (m, 2H, Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>– NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.57–2.30 (m, 2H, Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.27 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$  148.0 (Ar–C–OCH<sub>3</sub>-5'), 147.5 (Ar–C–OCH<sub>3</sub>-4'), 147.1 (Ar–C–OCH<sub>3</sub>-7'), 146.6 (Ar–C– OCH<sub>3</sub>-6'), 133.7 (Ar–C-1'), 130.0 (Ar–C-2), 126.0  $(Ar-C-4a)$ , 125.4  $(Ar-C-8a)$ , 113.4  $(Ar-C-H-6')$ , 113.1 (Ar–C–H-3'), 111.2 (Ar–C–H-5), 110.7 (Ar–C–H-8), 64.1  $(C-1)$ , 56.2  $(Ar-OCH_3)$ , 56.1  $(Ar-OCH_3)$ , 56.0  $(Ar-PCH_3)$ OCH<sub>3</sub>), 55.8 (Ar–OCH<sub>3</sub>), 45.7 (Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH), 42.9  $(NCH<sub>3</sub>)$ , 37.6 (Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–NCH<sub>3</sub>), 24.2 (Ar–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>– NCH<sub>3</sub>). MS:  $m/z$  (ESI<sup>+</sup>) 713 (MH<sup>+</sup>, 100%); HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>): calcd for  $C_{42}H_{53}N_2O_8 = 713.3802$ , found 713.3812.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Johnson and Johnson Research Pty. Limited, Sydney and the University of Wollongong for supporting this research and Dr. Wayne Gerlach for encouragement and support. We thank Prof. Meinhart H. Zenk for a generous gift of thalicarpine.

#### References and notes

- 1. ROMPP Encyclopedia of Natural Products; Steglish, W., Fugmann, B., Lang-Fugmann, S., Eds.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 2000; pp 84–85.
- 2. See for example the alkaloids, guattaminone: Berthou, S.; Jossang, A.; Guinaudeau, H.; Lebceuf, M.; Cavé, A. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 2193–2201 and tiliarine: Ray, A. K.; Mukhopadhyay, G.; Mitra, S. K.; Guha, K. P.; Mukherjee, B.; Rahman, A.-U.; Nelofar, A. Phytochemistry 1990, 29, 1020– 1022.
- 3. Kupchan, S. M.; Chakravarti, K. K.; Yokoyama, N. J. Pharm. Sci. 1963, 52, 985–988.
- 4. Kupchan, S. M.; Altland, H. W. J. Med. Chem. 1973, 16, 913–917.
- 5. Seifert, F.; Todorov, D.; Hutter, K. J.; Zeller, W. J. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 1996, 122, 707–710.
- 6. Todorov, D.; Zeller, W. J. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 1992, 118, 83–86.
- 7. Creaven, P. J.; Cohen, M. H.; Selawry, O. S.; Tejada, F.; Broder, L. E. Cancer Chemother. Rep. 1975, 59, 1001–1006.
- 8. Todorov, D.; Zeller, W. J. Drugs Future 1988, 13, 234–238.
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>9. Leimert, J. T.; Corder, M. P.; Elliott, T. E.; Lovett, J. M. Cancer Chemother. Rep. 1980, 64, 1271–1277.
- 10. Olivera, R.; SanMartin, R.; Churruca, F.; Dominguez, E. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7215–7225.
- 11. Ziolkowski, M.; Czarnocki, Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 1963–1966.
- 12. Trifonov, L.; Orakhovats, A. Izv. Khim. 1978, 11, 297–304 [CAN 92:164129].
- 13. Ahmad, I.; Gibson, M. S. Can. J. Chem. 1975, 53, 3360–3364; Orito, K.; Miyazawa, M.; Kanbayashi, R.; Tokuda, M.; Suginome, H. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 6583–6596.
- 14. Forbes, E. J.; Gray, C. J. Tetrahedron 1968, 24, 2795–2800.
- 15. Kametani, T.; Fukumoto, K.; Shibuya, S.; Nakano, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1963, 11, 1299–1305.
- 16. Takada, T.; Arisawa, M.; Gyoten, M.; Hamada, R.; Tohma, H.; Kita, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 7698–7706; Hamamoto, H.; Anilkumar, G.; Tohma, H.; Kita, Y. Chem.-Eur. J. 2002, 8, 5377–5383.
- 17. Waldvogel, S. R. Synlett 2002, 622–624; Kramer, B.; Frohlich, R.; Bergander, K.; Waldvogel, S. R. Synthesis 2003, 1, 91–96; Mirk, D.; Wibbeling, B.; Frohlich, R.; Waldvogel, S. R. Synlett

2004, 1970–1974; Waldvogel, S. R.; Aits, E.; Holst, C.; Frohlich, R. Chem. Commun. 2002, 1278–1279; Kumar, S.; Manickam, M. Chem. Commun 1997, 1615–1616.

- 18. Banwell, M. G.; Bissett, B. D.; Busato, S.; Cowden, C. J.; Hockless, D. C. R.; Holman, J. W.; Read, R. W.; Wu, A. W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 2551–2553; Banwell, M. G.; Harvey, J. E.; Hockless, D. C. R.; Wu, A. W. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 4241–4250.
- 19. Czarnocki, Z.; Mieczkowski, J. B.; Ziolkowski, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1996, 7, 2711–2720; Ziolkowski, M.; Czarnocki, Z.; Leniewski, A.; Maurin, J. K. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1999, 10, 3371–3380; Arazny, Z.; Czarnocki, Z.; Wojtasiewicz, K.; Maurin, J. K. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 11, 1623–1629.
- 20. Cromartje, R. I. T.; Harley-Mason, J.; Wannigama, D. G. P. J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 1981–1985; Weisgraber, K. H.; Weiss, U. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1972, 83–88.
- 21. Gardiner, J. M.; Bryce, M. R. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1261– 1266.
- 22. Kelly, T. R.; Xie, R. L. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 8045– 8048.